Likary

1 2	POLLUTION CONT	ORE THE ROL HEARINGS WASHINGTON	5 BOARD
3	IN THE MATTER OF EDGAR WALLACE dba RICHARDS APARTMENTS,	) ) )	
5	Appellant,	) ) )	PCHB No. 989
6	v.	)	FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
7	PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY,	)	AND ORDER
8	Respondent.	)	
9		_)	

This matter, appeal of the \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged opacity violation of Respondent's Section 9.03 of Regulation 1, came on for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Chris Smith, Chairman and Art Brown, Member) convened at the Seattle facility of the State Board of Industrial Insurance Appeals on June 24, 1976. William A. Harrison, Hearing Examiner, presided. Respondent elected a formal hearing

Appellant, Edgar Wallace, appeared with his employee,

Jerry Wallace. Respondent appeared by and through its attorney, 1 Keith D. McGoffin. Olympia Court Reporter Juana Tingdale 2 recorded the proceedings. 3 Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were 4 admitted. From testimony heard and exhibits examined, the 5 Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these 6 FINDINGS OF FACT 7 I. 8 Pursuant to RCW 43.21B.260 Respondent has filed its 9 Regulation 1 with the Pollution Control Hearings Board and 10 official notice thereof is hereby taken. The Appellant is 11 alleged to have violated Section 9.03(b) of Regulation 1 12 which provides, inter alia, as follows: 13 "After July 1, 1975 it shall be unlawful 14 for any person to cause or allow the emission of any air contaminant for a period or periods 15 aggregating more than three (3) minutes in any one hour, which is: 16 (1) Darker in shade than that designated as No. 1 (20% density) on the Ringelmann Chart, 17 as published by the United States Bureau of Mines; or 18 (2) Of such opacity as to obscure an observer's view to a degree equal to or greater than 19 does smoke described in subsection 9.03(b)(1) . . . " 20 II. 2iAppellant is the owner of the Richards Apartments, \_' \_' 123 Bellevue Avenue East, Seattle, Washington, and was so at 24 all times relevant to this appeal. III. 2526 On January 16, 1976 Appellant caused or allowed an

emission of an air contaminant of eight (8) minutes duration

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
S F No 9928-4 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

27

with an opacity equal to No. 5 on the Ringelmann Chart. Such emission emanated from a stack on the Richards Apartments.

IV.

While such facts do not pertain to the issue of whether Appellant committed this violation we find as fact that the Appellant has been assessed two (2) prior civil penalties of Fifty Dollars (\$50.00) each for violation of the same regulation (Section 9.03) as now alleged. We take notice that no appeals were filed. We further find that the Appellant, on or about January 16, 1976, entered into a lease to convert from the existing oil furnace at the Richards Apartments to a new gas furnace. This was done in hopes of eliminating any air pollution problem.

v.

Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter recited which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

Appellant has violated Section 9.03 of Respondent's Regulation  $\mathbf{1}$ 

II.

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such

## ORDER

The violation and civil penalty imposed by Notice and Order of Civil Penalty No 2673 are each hereby affirmed; except, that \$25.00 of the \$50.00 civil penalty is suspended for six months FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, SF No 9928-A AND ORDER - 3

∠6

1	provided that no further violations occur within that time from
2	this course
3	DATED this Let day of June, 1976
4	O POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
5	
6	
7	$O_{\bullet}$ $O_{\bullet}$
8	Chui Inecol
9	CHRIS SMITH Chairman
10	
11	
12	1173
13	ART BROWN
14	Member
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
20	
21	
25	
26	FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
27 !	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER - 4